Prof. Dr. Sung Yong Kim

Department of Mechanical Engineering Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST)

AVISO/SAT





O(1) Rossby number [Ro = ζ/f]

(J. Gula @ UCLA)

- A horizontal scale smaller than the first baroclinic Rossby deformation radius; O(1-10) km
- Frequently observed as fronts, eddies, and filaments





### Sampling domain in computation of energy spectra



- HFR surface currents (1, 6, and 20 km resolution; hourly) off southern California and on coastline axis (USWC)
- Gridded ALT products [CCAR (daily) and AVISO (weekly)] and along-track altimeter (ALT; Envisat/Jason-1; weekly) on NE Pacific
- CalCOFI shipboard ADCP (Line 90; quarterly)
- SoCAL was chosen because it contains relatively minimum ageostrophic components.

#### Energy spectra in the wavenumber domain (1D)



Two kinds of ALT data: Envisat and Jason-1 HFR data with three resolutions: 1 km and 6 km data are sampled from SoCAL,

Robust estimate on k-2 spectra

with data in other regions.

because minimum ageostropic components are expected. 20 km data are from the coastline axis.

#### Scale-by-scale energy budget equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E(k^*) + \Pi(k^*) = -2\nu\Omega(k^*) + F(k^*), \quad \text{(Frisch 1995)}$$

where

$$\begin{split} E(k^*) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| < k^*} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2, \quad \text{Cumulative kinetic energy} \\ \Pi(k^*) &= \langle \mathbf{u}_{<} \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \rangle, \quad \text{Cumulative advective kinetic energy flux} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{u}_{<} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{<} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{>}) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_{<} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{>} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{>}) \rangle, \\ \Omega(k^*) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| < k^*} \mathbf{k}^2 |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2, \quad \text{Cumulative enstrophy} \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{u}_{<}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{u}_{>}(\mathbf{x}), \\ &= \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| < k^*} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > k^*} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}, \end{split}$$

 Surface currents from HFR observations (1 km) and sub-mesoscale model (0.75 km; X. Capet *et al*, 2009) off southern California

### **Comparison of advective kinetic energy flux** $[\Pi(k^*)]$



#### Submesoscale process studies

 have benefited from primarily idealized numerical models and theoretical frameworks because they require the use of highresolution observations of less than one hour in time and O(1-10) km in space.



### Summary

- Scale continuity between sub-mesoscale and mesoscale. Due to the noise at 100 km scale in altimeter observations, studies on energy spectra and flux below that scale can be explored with sub-mesoscale observations.
- Resolving sub-mesoscale processes can lead parameterization on the global-scale and climate-scale numerical simulations and modelling.